

BOND, SCHOENECK & KING, PLLC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW • NEW YORK FLORIDA KANSAS

June 26, 2007

ARTHUR J. SIEGEL, ESQ. Direct: 518-533-3211 Fax: 518-533-3299 asiege@bsk.com

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Honorable Victor Marrero Southern District of New York U.S. Courthouse, Room 660 500 Pearl Street New York, New York 10007-1312

Re: Corlew v. GE et. al. Case No. 06 Civ. 0266(vm)

Dear Judge Marrero:

Please be advised that this law firm represents General Electric Company ("GE"), one of the defendants in the Armand Corlew matter. We write this letter in compliance with your Order dated June 18, 2007 in which you request that we address why this matter should not be transferred to the Northern District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a).

It is GE's position that the Corlew case remain venued in the Southern District of New York. Although GE's headquarters is not within the Southern District, certain GE fact and expert witnesses are in close proximity to the Southern District. Accordingly, it will not be burdensome for GE to defend this case in the Southern District.

Moreover, plaintiff originally chose New York County within which to bring the Corlew action, indicating that this forum will not be inconvenient or burdensome to Plaintiffs.

For these reasons, as well as those reasons set forth in Mr. Leader's letter to the Court, GE respectfully requests that the venue of the Corlew action remain in the Southern District.

With respect to consolidation, GE respectfully requests the opportunity to address the Court as to why GE believes consolidation of the three cases for all purposes is unworkable and not in the interests of justice. We also adopt by reference the comments in Mr. Leader's letter to the Court with respect to consolidation.

Respectfully submitted,

BOND, SCHOENECK & KING, PLLC

Honorable Victor Marrero June 26, 2007 Page 2

cc: Lawrence P. Biondi, Esq. James K. Leader, Esq. Carol A. Rutter, Esq.